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Abstract

**Background:** Osteonecrosis of the jaws in patients treated with bisphosphonates (ONJ-BF) is a multidisciplinary medical problem that has aroused considerable interest. In recent years several reports of osteonecrosis of the jaws arising in patients treated with BF have appeared.

**Objectives:** Despite numerous scientific and epidemiological studies, there are a lot of doubts and it is still not possible to make full evidence in the treatment of patients using ONJ-BF. Our paper wants to offer a review about the mechanism and the elements of the various diagnostic and therapeutic modalities that can be taken against this clinical phenomenon.

**Methods:** Dental procedures seems to increase the frequency of ONJ-BF, but it is possible that a preexisting subclinical ONJ-BF appears as dental disease or, alternatively, that the extraction or dental procedures reveal an half hidden osteonecrosis.

**Results:** In normal conditions this could rapidly heals without infectious consequences, even if the mouth is not a sterile environment. In patients developing ONJ-BF the recover is rather slow and difficult, due to contamination of the bone lesion with germs by the bacterial flora of the oral cavity.

**Conclusions:** The complex clinical and medico-legal implications require that all health workers, dentists, dental hygienists, oral and maxillo-facial surgeons, have an adequate knowledge of the diagnostic processes and the general principles for the prevention of this disease.
Introduction

Osteonecrosis of the jaws, on the basis of ischemic, is not an autonomous disease but rather the consequence of many local or systemic factors able to modify the blood flow inside the bone and tissue coating and expose the anatomical region to the action of several additional factors. Among the various risk factors, we find: local risk factors (radiotherapy of head and neck, dental surgical procedures involving bone, etc.); systemic risk factors (corticosteroid therapy, hemodialysis, etc.); iatrogenic risk factors (arsenical derived products, incongruous use of root-canal irrigating solutions).

Osteonecrosis of the jaw from bisphosphonates (ONJ-BF) is a side effect of prolonged treatment with the BFs for the first time officially described by Marx in 2003 (1). It is characterized by spontaneous or induced exposure of necrotic bone at the jaw and / or the upper jaw that persists for longer than 8 weeks in a patient who took or are taking a drug class of bisphosphonates, and that is not was not subjected or exposed to radiation of said bone segments. (Fig. 1)

The fundamental biological action of all bisphosphonates, assumed both orally and intravenously, is represented by inhibition of resorption (anti-osteoclastic action) and then the turnover and renewal of the bone tissue (with the consequent reduction of the calcium levels in serum). For this mechanism of action, these drugs are used for the treatment of various benign or malignant bone diseases, which are mainly represented by osteoporosis, Paget's disease, multiple myeloma and osteolytic bone metastases of carcinomas, resulting among the most prescribed drugs in the world.

The BF-ONJ can occur after invasive surgical dental treatments (tooth extraction, endodontic surgery). In patients with impaired immune system, we can have bone necrosis occurrence resulting from dento-periodontal diseases. Not always a BF-ONJ develops after dental procedures (iatrogenic forms); in literature are described some cases of ONJ-BF occurring without an appropriate temporal relationship with iatrogenic traumatic events (natural forms).
The ONJ can sometimes be seen using an orthopanoramic radiography of the jaws, but in case of suspicion or certainty, second-level examinations such as computed tomography and nuclear magnetic resonance imaging, can provide more informations even in the early stages of the disease about extension of the necrotic process. (Fig. 2)

**Fig 2**: Particular of X-ray showing osteonecrosis area

The dental work appears to increase the frequency of a ONJ-BF, however, it is possible that a pre-existing ONJ-BF in subclinical form is manifested as dental disease or, alternatively, that the extraction or the dental work reveal an underlying osteonecrosis. Bone lesions are observed mainly on the mandible (65%), especially in the mylohyoid ridge; the maxilla is involved in 26% of cases, especially at the level of alveolar bone and palatal torus. In 9% of cases the lesions are multiple and affect both the mandible and maxilla (2). Under normal conditions this could mean a quick recovery without infections, even if the mouth is not a sterile environment.

In subjects who develop ONJ-BF healing is rather slow and difficult, with a tendency to contamination of the bone lesion with germs of the bacterial flora of the oral cavity. Must make a distinction between the ONJ induced by BF administered intravenously and those induced by drugs administered orally, in that, the latter would seem to be less frequent and more responsive to the suspension of the drug and the surgical removal.

**Objectives**

Since 2003, various classifications have been proposed. *Ruggiero et al.* (3) in 2006 drew up a classification in 5 different stages in relation to clinical characteristics and therapeutic opportunities, revised in 2009 in the position paper about ONJ BF by American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons (AAOMS) (4) (Table 1).
Table 1: Staging and treatment strategies*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Treatment Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre – ONJBF</td>
<td>No apparent necrotic bone in patients who have been treated with either oral or IV bisphosphonates</td>
<td>No treatment indicated, patient education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(At risk category)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 0</td>
<td>No clinical evidence of necrotic bone, but nonspecific clinical findings and symptoms.</td>
<td>Systemic management, including use of pain medication and antibiotics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exposed and necrotic bone in asymptomatic patients without evidence of infection.</td>
<td>Antibacterial mouth rinse; Clinical follow-up on quarterly basis; Patient education and review of indications for continued bisphosphonate therapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>Exposed and necrotic bone associated with infection as evidenced by pain and erythema in region of exposed bone with or without purulent drainage.</td>
<td>Symptomatic treatment with oral antibiotics; Oral antibacterial mouth rinse; Pain control; Superficial debridement to relieve soft tissue irritation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td>Exposed and necrotic bone in patients with pain, infection, and one or more of the following: exposed and necrotic bone extending beyond the region of alveolar bone, (ie, inferior border and ramus in the mandible, maxillary sinus and zygoma in the maxilla) resulting in pathologic fracture, extraoral fistula, oral antral/oral nasal communication, or osteolysis extending to the inferior border of the mandible or the sinus floor.</td>
<td>Antibacterial mouth rinse; Antibiotic therapy and pain control; Surgical debridement / resection for longer term palliation of infection and pain.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Conditions of risk for ONJ-BF are obviously all forms of periodontal disease and dental both acute and chronic, conditions that predispose the one hand to the alveolar penetration in the cavity of germs and to the formation of abscesses and the other to the need of dental cleaning. The presence of a periodontal disease has been described in 84% of cases of ONJ-BF. In addition, patients with compromised immune system and susceptibility to infection, as are those with cancer, diabetes, or immunosuppressive therapy with steroids or receiving chemotherapy are particularly susceptible to periodontal or dental disease, and not surprisingly are most at risk of developing ONJ-BF (5). In subjects with some form of immune deficiency often periodontal disease is particularly frequent, but gives no conspicuous signs of gingival inflammation evolving silently from the clinical point of view (6). Moreover, periodontitis develops in depth towards the root of the tooth and in some cases, the framework evolves into necrotizing acute periodontitis which can then lead to osteonecrosis, justifying a part of the forms defined "spontaneous" (7).

In the majority of cases reported in the literature (60 - 85%) the development of ONJ-BF is occurred as a result of dental work, extractive or implant (iatrogenic forms) (8).

Among the dentists it has been possible to obtain an awareness of the problem, but not yet completely a clear clinical approach to patients treated with these drugs and the real rate of risk for different categories of patients exposed to the drug. The dental management of patients treated with BPs and the specific management of ONJ-BF are still debated topics worthy of study and clinical implications involving complex medical-legal issues and extend to even wider and local and national health policy.
Methods

Prevention strategies

**Patients who must take BFev:** According to the AAOMS, before starting treatment with bisphosphonates, patients should undergo a thorough odontostomatologic examination, in which all teeth that cannot be cured should be removed, all invasive dental procedures should be completed and should be achieved optimal periodontal health. The goal of treatment for this group of patients is to minimize the risk of developing ONJ.

**Asymptomatic patients already treated with BFev:** maintain proper oral hygiene and make regular visits are two key aspects to prevent tooth decay that may require dentoalveolar surgery. Procedures that involve direct osseous injury should be avoided as it should avoid the placement of dental implants.

**Asymptomatic patients already treated with BFos:** dentoalveolar surgery does not appear to be contraindicated in this group (patients should be adequately informed about the risk, however low, to have impaired bone healing).

Treatment of patients with ONJ-BF

The goal of treatment is to eliminate pain, control infection of hard and soft tissue, minimizing the risk of progression of bone necrosis and prevent the emergence of new areas of necrosis. Surgical debridement was variably effective in eliminating the necrotic bone and may also be difficult to get a bone resection with viable bleeding margin as the whole maxilla is exposed to the pharmacological action of bisphosphonates (9).

**Drug withdrawal:** there is a lack of evidence, in cases reported in the literature, of improvement or failure to progress of ONJ after cessation of BF administration also for relevant periods (up to a year suspension). The BFev persist in the bone up to 12 years after cessation of therapy and BFos persist up to 5 years and it is clear that the interruption of therapy may not help healing ONJ or facilitate the effects of treatments undertaken (10).

**Medical therapy:** it represents a universally accepted step in the treatment of ONJ. It involves the use of chlorhexidine (0,12 - 0,20%) or hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) as antiseptics principals to make oral rinses 3-4 times a day. As for antibiotic therapy (continuous or intermittent) has recommended the use of penicillins (11).

**Hyperbaric oxygen (HBO):** ONJ-BF, unlike osteoradionecrosis, does not seem to respond positively to hyperbaric oxygen therapy. The radiation, in fact, it induces a tissue damage characterized by hypoxia, hypocellularity and hypovascularization that can be reversible or improved by the resumption vascular bone and soft tissue (12). In ONJ, bone necrosis has a multifactorial etiology in which the anti-neoangiogenic activity of BF is only partially involved (13). Freiberg, in a recent article, states that hyperbaric oxygen therapy is an adjunct to surgical and antibiotic therapy, and may be useful in treatment of ONJ-BF by producing reactive oxygen and nitrogen species that would go to positively modulate intracellular signaling molecules involved in bone turnover (14).

**Ozone therapy:** Ozone causes beneficial effects on blood circulation (especially in small vessels particularly in the tissues in the oral and maxillary bones), increases the concentration of red blood cells and hemoglobin level, promotes diapedesis and phagocytosis, and finally also has germicides and analgesic effects (10). Iannetti et al. (15), have developed a new protocol for the management of ONJ-BF which includes the use of ozone therapy as a supportive treatment to surgery.

**Low Level Laser Therapy (LLLT):** the studies available to date concerning the operation of the laser at low energies in the healing process of soft tissues and bone regeneration, highlight mechanisms at various levels, leading to different effects. It has been shown that the LLLT stimulates the proliferation of cells and the formation of new lymphatic and blood vessels. Also, has shown his ability to obtain pain relief, wound healing and nerve regeneration, and has potential anti-microbial and bio-stimulants effects when applied at the level of oral tissues (as also observed by Romeo et al.) (16, 17).
Surgical therapy: the AAOMS, which emphasizes the need for surgery should be provided only for advanced cases of osteonecrosis (stage III) and in the presence of well defined bone seizures. The traditional method of conserving surgery provides that any intervention should be performed under antibiotic cover, limiting itself to eliminate seizures and mobile bone segments without involving not exposed bone.

Among the many approaches, the conservative surgical treatment of ONJ-BF using the **Er: YAG laser** is capable of providing clinical and therapeutic advantages. The beam Er: YAG laser works on both the soft tissues without charring but with little haemostatic effect ("cold" laser), and hard tissues, with sharper and non-traumatic cutting action comparing with traditional surgery (18, 19).

The absence of haemostatic effect in the Er: YAG laser that is the non ability to coagulate, represents an advantage in this case, as it is essential to distinguish, in the surgical phase, the share of the avascular necrotic bone from the clinically healthy and vascularized one (bleeding). (Fig. 3)

**Fig 3:** Surgical-conservative approach using Er:YAG

Results

The bisphosphonates, administered in patients with benign bone diseases like osteoporosis and malignant such as osteolytic metastases, represent important drug with beneficial effects (eg. reduction in bone pain, prevention of skeletal complications such as pathologic fractures), are therefore hardly interchangeable. Especially if administered intravenously, compared to that bisphosphonates administered orally, may give rise to complication of ONJ-BF.

Medical therapy, local and / or systemic, produces a temporary reduction of pain and local symptoms and therefore ineffective in the long term (as observed by Vescovi et al. (9), occurring on average recurrence of signs and symptoms of infection after three weeks of discontinuation of medical therapy). The conservative surgical approach, even in the early stages dell’ONJ, then finds its rationale in failure of the results obtained in the long term, with the medical therapy itself (it is possible to observe patients do not subject to any invasive therapy, but only in medical therapy, switch from the early stages of ONJ-BF to advanced stages, resulting in fracture of the jaw) and in other important elements, such
as: short traumatic intervention for the patient for the limited bone involvement, possibility of execution under local anesthesia and healing by primary intention.
Among the various possible approaches, the conservative surgical treatment of ONJ-BF using the Er: YAG laser is able to offer clinical and therapeutic advantages.
They are represented primarily by less traumatic intervention (which, given the frequent systemic impairment of patients with ONJ-BF, turns out to be a fundamental advantage) than traditional surgical approach for absence of vibrations and pressure caused by to non-use of bone cutters (during surgery it was used the handpiece a distance of Er: YAG laser, which works in absence of contact with the bone tissue); by the absence of haemostatic effect and peripheral carbonization, which represent two fundamental advantages for distinguishing, during the surgical procedure, the proportion of necrotic and avascular bone from clinically healthy and vascularized (bleeding); by the absence of residual tissue responsible together with the previous advantages of an optimal healing; by an antibacterial and biostimulant action of the laser beam, which leads to a faster mucosal and bone healing.

Conclusions
Osteonecrosis of the jaws is a severe complication that can result from drug therapy with bisphosphonates, drugs that are prescribed to treat metabolic and oncologic diseases affecting the skeletal system. Risk factors that may contribute to the onset of the disease are varied and related to both systemic conditions that local. In 70-80% of cases, osteonecrosis is manifested by a non-healing or with a delay in the process of healing after a dental extraction or any oral surgery.
In a lower number of cases it can have the spontaneous onset of the disease. The early phases are mostly asymptomatic and clinical and radiographic changes not have occurred. With the progress of the disease the most common symptom reported by patients is given by an unpleasant sensation of numbness and burning in the mouth. A thorough exploration of the oral mucosa and skin region of the lower third of the face supported by radiographic examination in patients with a history of bisphosphonates helps us to recognize small signs that may raise the suspicion that there is a osteonecrosis process in place.
This phase precedes the evident osteonecrosis, therefore represents an important opportunity for early diagnosis and prevention of the disease. The dental management for patients waiting to start a therapy with bisphosphonates administered intravenously provides an accurate odontostomatological assessment and implementation of an adequate plan for conservative, periodontal and prosthetic treatment designed to eliminate possible local risk factors predisposing to the onset of osteonecrosis.
If surgery is needed and systemic conditions of the patient permit it, bisphosphonate therapy should be postponed until the completion of the mucosal and bone healing process. If surgery is needed and the systemic conditions of the patient permit it, bisphosphonate therapy should be postponed until the completion of the mucosal and bone healing process.
The management of patients who come to our attention during therapy with bisphosphonates is inspired by the conservative approach and is intended to avoid categorically any action that could bloody act as a stimulus for bone remodeling. The treatment of choice in the case of patients with signs and symptoms of osteonecrosis is, finally, that aims to safeguard the quality of life of these subjects through the control of pain and infectious and dysfunctional complications.
In conclusion, it is considered that, although many questions on pathogenesis, epidemiology and individual susceptibility remain unresolved today, a close collaboration between dentist, general practitioner, oncologist and orthopedic can create the conditions to operate a valid stage-specific prevention and treatment of osteonecrosis of the jaw associated with use of bisphosphonates.
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